



REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, SACRAMENTO  
CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
1325 J STREET  
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814-2922

March 9, 2007

Regulatory Branch (200400685)

RECEIVED

MAR 12 2007

TRLIA

Charles K. McClain  
Three Rivers Levee Improvement Authority  
Executive Director  
1114 Yuba Street, Suite 218  
Marysville, California 95901

Dear Mr. McClain:

We are amending the Special Conditions 2, 3, and 5(a,b, and c), to your copy of Department of the Army Permit 200400685. All other conditions of the permit remain in full force and effect.

2. You shall develop a final comprehensive mitigation and monitoring plan, which must be approved by the Army Corps of Engineers by January 01, 2008. The plan shall include mitigation location and design drawings, vegetation plans, including target species to be planted, and final success criteria, presented in the format of the Sacramento District's Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Proposal Guidelines, dated December 30, 2004. The purpose of this requirement is to insure replacement of functions and values of the aquatic environment that would be lost through project implementation.

3. To insure that the on-site mitigation is maintained and monitored, a letter of credit in an amount estimated to be the result of the Property Analysis Record (PAR), shall be submitted to our office by August 25, 2007.

5. To insure long-term viability of mitigation, preservation, and avoidance areas you shall by January 01, 2008:

a. Establish a fully-funded endowment to provide for maintenance and monitoring of on-site and off-site mitigation, preservation, and avoidance areas.

b. Designate an appropriate conservation-oriented third party entity to function as preserve manager and to hold the required conservation easements.

c. Record permanent conservation easements and deed restrictions maintaining all mitigation, preservation, and avoidance areas as wetland preserve and wildlife habitat in perpetuity. Copies of the proposed deed restriction and conservation easement language shall be provided to the Corps of Engineers for approval prior to recordation.

Please refer to identification number 200400685 in any correspondence concerning this project. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Brian Vierra at our Sacramento Valley Office, 1325 J Street, Room 1480, Sacramento, California 95814-2922, email [brian.e.vierra@usace.army.mil](mailto:brian.e.vierra@usace.army.mil), or telephone 916-557-7728. You may also use our website: [www.spk.usace.army.mil/regulatory.html](http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/regulatory.html).

Sincerely,



Thomas J. Cavanaugh  
Chief, Sacramento Valley Office

Enclosure(s)

Copy furnished without enclosure(s):

Chris Elliott, Jones & Stokes, 2600 V Street, Sacramento, California 95818-1914

The Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0710-0012), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR APPLICATION TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS. RETURN COMPLETED APPLICATION TO THE ADDRESS SHOWN ON THE APPLICATION INSTRUCTION SHEET.

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
CUSTOMER SERVICE SURVEY - REGULATORY PROGRAM**

We at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Branch are committed to improving service to our customers and would like to know how well we have been doing. Who are our customers? You are our *customers* if you submitted a permit application, requested a jurisdictional determination or wetland delineation, or scheduled a pre-application meeting with us. *Other customers* include those of you who receive our Public Notice and/or commented on a particular project or our work in general, because of your interest in the Regulatory Program. To identify how we can better serve you, we need your help. Please take the time to fill out this brief survey and mail it back to us. Your honest opinions will help us determine areas in which we need to improve. For each question, please indicate the level of service you received by marking the appropriate number on a scale from 1-5, with 1 being low (dissatisfied) and 5 being high (very satisfied). If the question does not apply to you, simply mark N/A. Thank you for your time and comments! Response to this survey is VOLUNTARY. If you choose not to respond, it will not affect any

I. FOR APPLICANTS & OTHERS REQUIRING AUTHORIZATIONS	LOW SATISFACTION			HIGH SATISFACTION		
	1	2	3	4	5	NA
1. Do you think you received your Corps permit decision in a reasonable amount of time?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Do you think you received your Corps jurisdictional determination in a reasonable amount of time?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. If we recommended/required project changes/modifications to reduce impacts, did we clearly explain the reasons why?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. If we recommended/required project changes/modifications to reduce impacts, did the changes seem reasonable to you?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. If we denied your permit, did we clearly explain the reasons why?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
6. For enforcement cases, did our office clearly and professionally explain the basis for the enforcement action (e.g., what work we believe you performed without authorization)?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
7. For enforcement cases, did our office include options for resolution?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
<b>II. FOR "OTHER" CUSTOMERS</b>						
1. For permitted actions, was the permit effective in achieving appropriate protection/mitigation for impacts to aquatic resources?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. For enforcement actions, did the Corps require appropriate compensation/restoration for impacts to aquatic resources?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
<b>III. FOR APPLICANTS &amp; "OTHER" CUSTOMERS</b>						
1. Did the Corps representative act professionally and treat you with courtesy?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Did the Corps provide sufficient information to allow you to complete an application form, comment on a public notice, or otherwise evaluate our work?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. Did we respond to your letters and telephone calls in a reasonable amount of time?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. Did the Corps representative answer your questions clearly, giving you accurate information about our Regulatory Program?	1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. What is your OVERALL rating of the level of service provided by the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program?	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Note: DATA FROM THIS QUESTIONNAIRE WILL BE USED BY THE DISTRICT TO IMPROVE SERVICE. ALSO, INFORMATION WILL BE TABULATED NATIONALLY BY SERVICE AREA. RESPONDENTS WILL NOT BE IDENTIFIED BY NAME OR ORGANIZATION FOR ANY REPORT DERIVED FROM THIS SURVEY.